From: **Sandi MATIC/Matic** sandi@konkrethaus.com.au
Subject: Re: Yap v Matic [116086] - Assistance in preventing Commission of Crime
Date: 10 December 2023 at 1:55 am
To: Martin Bennett (Mr Matic) mbennett@bennettlaw.com.au, Jessica Border JBorder@bennettlaw.com.au, Nathan Ebbs NEbbs@bennettlaw.com.au, Sally Elliott selliott@bennettlaw.com.au, chief.justice.quigley@justice.wa.gov.au, justice.solomon@justice.wa.gov.au, SC Chief Justice Chambers Chief.Justice.Chambers@justice.wa.gov.au

SM

> On 25 Aug 2022, at 8:51 am, Sandi Matic (@KonkretHaus) <sandi@konkrethaus.com.au> wrote:
>
> Attention of Martin Bennet in his Private Capacity,
>
> Good Morning Martin & thank you for your correspondence. Could Martin in his private capacity please tell us what is the legal definition Society?
>
> Secondly, we are respectful of all laws and conduct ourselves lawfully & legally. That is not to say that we are without any rights which Martin Bennet seems to attempt and assert.
>
> Martin Bennet should take his own advice and respect the judicial process to its fullest and not only the bits that he chooses to and ignore others. Martin Bennet has not provided any sworn affidavits rebutting ANY of the 12 sworn Affidavits submitted & accepted as fact up until this point
>
> Instead Martin Bennett attempts to bully us in an attempt to gain financial benefit by threat & intimidation that feel somewhat like high school teenagers complaining to the teacher when they don't get their way.
>
> Nothing that we've said has been anything but true and fact & we find your tactics & behaviour rather embarrassing.
>
> Sandi.
>
> N.B. This correspondence is to be used Without Prejudice towards the author. All rights reserved
>
> No assured value. No liability. Errors & Omissions Excepted. All Rights Reserved. Without Recourse. Non-Assumpsit.
> THIS IS A MATTER OF PUBLIC NOTICE AND FOR THE PUBLIC RECORD.
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Martin Bennett [mailto:mbennett@bennettlaw.com.au]
> **Sent:** Tuesday, 16 August 2022 6:23 PM
> **To:** Sandi Matic (@KonkretHaus); Jessica Border; Nathan Ebbs; Sally Elliott; chief.justice.quigley@justice.wa.gov.au; justice.solomon@justice.wa.gov.au; 'SC Chief Justice Chambers'
> **Cc:** peter.collier@mp.wa.gov.au; ayor.makurchuot@mp.wa.gov.au; martin.pritchard@mp.wa.gov.au; Katrina.Stratton@mp.wa.gov.au; Christine.Tonkin@mp.wa.gov.au; pierre.yang.mp@mp.wa.gov.au; Minister.Quigley@dpc.wa.gov.au
> **Subject:** RE: Yap v Matic [116086] - Assistance in preventing Commission of Crime
>
> Mr Matic
>
> Your email below constitutes a serious and blatant contempt of Court quite apart from the baseless allegation made by you against Ms Border of my office.
>
> I will prepare and shortly file and serve on you a further application to commit you for contempt. The rule of law in our society requires you to respect the judicial process and not act with such lack of regard to the law.

If you repeat your false allegations again I reserve all rights including to commence proceedings against you without further notice

Martin Bennett
Founding Principal

T +61 8 6316 2200
D +61 8 6316 2255
M +61 417 995 551
mbennett@bennettlaw.com.au

Whadjuk Noongar Boodja
Level 14, Westralia Square
141 St Georges Terrace
PERTH, WA 6000

bennettlaw.com.au

Follow us on LinkedIn

BENNETT | Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation. This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose or use the information contained in it. If you have received this email in error please notify us immediately by return email and delete the document. Bennett is not responsible for any changes made to a document other than those made by Bennett or for the effect of the changes on the document's meaning. Bennett accepts no liability for any damage caused by this email or its attachments due to viruses, interference, interception, corruption or unauthorised access.

From: Sandi Matic (@KonkretHaus) <sandi@konkrethaus.com.au>
Sent: Tuesday, 16 August 2022 8:36 AM
To: Jessica Border <JBorder@bennettlaw.com.au>; Nathan Ebbs <NEbbs@bennettlaw.com.au>; Martin Bennett <mbennett@bennettlaw.com.au>; Sally Elliott <selliott@bennettlaw.com.au>; chief.justice.quigley@justice.wa.gov.au; justice.solomon@justice.wa.gov.au; 'SC Chief Justice Chambers' <Chief.Justice.Chambers@justice.wa.gov.au>
Cc: peter.collier@mp.wa.gov.au; ayor.makurchuot@mp.wa.gov.au; martin.pritchard@mp.wa.gov.au; Katrina.Stratton@mp.wa.gov.au; Christine.Tonkin@mp.wa.gov.au; pierre.yang.mp@mp.wa.gov.au; Minister.Quigley@dpc.wa.gov.au
Subject: RE: Yap v Matic [116086] - Assistance in preventing Commission of Crime

To:
Jessica Border in her private capacity
Nathan Ebbs in his private capacity
Martin Bennett in his private capacity
Sally Elliott in her private capacity

To:
Marcus Solomon in his private capacity
Peter Damien Quinlan in his private capacity

Cc: Local Members of Parliament

Good Morning Jessica & others;,

Jessica, regarding the below correspondence; You previously provided me with alleged email & letter from Mr Mead to Ms Yap in PDF format.

You did not provide to us, with the notes Mr mead provided to Ms Yap – the notes labelled VJY-5 of Vivian Yaps affidavit

You refused to provide this on 8th June 2022. Why are you refusing to provide disclosure of document which you have submitted to the court upon the original application for ex parte hearing?
Why do you continue to commit fraudulent acts?

Further 3rd and final time we demand you provide the copies with

For the 3rd and final time we are demanding you to provide the provide with **the notes Mead provided to Ms Yap – the notes labelled VJY-5 of Vivian Yaps affidavit** by having the original creator of the note (Mr Mead) sending the copy that he had created and sent and forward the instrument of correspondence to our email address (not via your online cloud server) AND to have the original recipient (presumed to be Ms Yap) to and forward the instrument of correspondence that she received to our email address.

Please ensure that both are sent to verify metadata matches up.

Secondly, you previously provided 2 videos which were alleged to be the phone recordings of the call between angus mead and Sandi Matic – a call initiated by Angus Mead.
The files you sent are not telephone conversations and are easily fabricated using computer software.
The files have had metadata stripped
There is no possibility of 1 single call to be recorded in 2 separate files and for the 2 files to have audio overlap where one ends and another one starts so this has been altered also.

You've been put on Notice that you are committing fraud & submitting altered, manipulated and fabricated files & recordings that you know to be unauthentic and altered in order to obtain a benefit by deception for yourselves personally & for your client & furthermore to gain access by deception into the Trust of SANDI MATIC.

Consider this as notice of intent to report a crime to Australian Federal Police & the Organized Crime Squad of Western Australia Police within the next 48 hours & am seeking assistance from Attorney-General of Western Australia & Local MPs too assist regarding this matter.

I hereby formally rebut & challenge the Judicial Immunity presumption in respect to Justices of Supreme Court addressed in their private capacity given they are acting in role outside their jurisdiction.
Marcus Solomon in his private capacity & Peter Damian Quigley in his private capacity have been included in correspondence so that there is absolutely no confusion about facts being I have put the information to Marcus Solomon and he understood what I claimed to be true and did nothing to prevent fraudulent act being administered.

To members of Parliament that have been included in the email, if you have capacity to assist with the matter to prevent fraud being committed by the abovementioned men/women in their private capacity please contact me via email or 0448800250

We will send a separate email regarding the threats & intimidation to have myself, Sandi Matic a natural person & living being consent to being the DEFENDANT listen in the proceedings and bind myself to the legal entity that is allegedly the defendant in the matter. Please note; notice of appearance has not been submitted to the court whereby I have consented to jurisdiction to be the defendant & instead have acted as an attorney in fact to try clarify the misunderstanding & prevent further harm, loss or damage being done to me and my family.

From the outside I have firmly refuted that I am the named party on the proceedings, have submitted sworn affidavits that still stand unrebutted in writing/orally let alone in a sworn affidavit.

Sincerely
Sandi Matic
All rights reserved;

From: Jessica Border [mailto:JBorder@bennettandco.com.au]
Sent: Wednesday, 8 June 2022 6:03 PM
To: Sandi Matic (@KonkretHaus)
Cc: Nathan Ebbs; Martin Bennett; Sally Elliott

Cc: Nathan Ebbs; Martin Bennett; Sally Elliott
Subject: RE: Yap v Matic [116086]

Mr Matic

I *attach:*

1. your request for the notes made by Mr Mead; and
2. my response.

We reiterate that we are under no obligation to answer the questions raised by you, particularly in circumstances where you sought provision of the documents referred to after the matter had been heard.

Regards

Jess Border
Solicitor

<image003.png>

Ground Floor, 28 The Esplanade, Perth WA 6000
T +61 8 6316 2200 **E** jborder@bennettandco.com.au
D +61 8 6316 2276

www.bennettandco.com.au

<image004.png>Follow us on LinkedIn

<image005.png>

BENNETT + CO I Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.
This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose or use the information contained in it. If you have received this email in error please notify us immediately by return email and delete the document. Bennett + Co is not responsible for any changes made to a document other than those made by Bennett + Co or for the effect of the changes on the document's meaning. Bennett + Co accepts no liability for any damage caused by this email or its attachments due to viruses, interference, interception, corruption or unauthorised access.

From: Sandi Matic (@KonkretHaus) <sandi@konkrethaus.com.au>
Sent: Wednesday, 8 June 2022 3:50 PM
To: Jessica Border <JBorder@bennettandco.com.au>
Cc: Nathan Ebbs <NEbbs@bennettandco.com.au>; Martin Bennett <MBennett@bennettandco.com.au>; Sally Elliott <selliott@bennettandco.com.au>
Subject: RE: Yap v Matic [116086]

You previously provided me with alleged email & letter from Mr Mead to Ms Yap in PDF format.

To my recollection,you did not provide with the notes mr mead provided to Ms Yap – the notes labelled VJY-5 of Vivian Yaps affidavit

Why was this withheld?

From: Jessica Border [mailto:JBorder@bennettandco.com.au]
Sent: Wednesday, 8 June 2022 3:19 PM
To: Sandi Matic (@KonkretHaus)
Cc: Nathan Ebbs; Martin Bennett; Sally Elliott
Subject: Yap v Matic [116086]

Dear Mr Matic

My apologies for missing your call earlier.

My preference is to correspond by email in relation to this matter.

Please let me know by return email what you were calling about.

Kind regards

Jess Border
Solicitor

<image003.png>

Ground Floor, 28 The Esplanade, Perth WA 6000
T +61 8 6316 2200 **E** jborder@bennettandco.com.au
D +61 8 6316 2276
www.bennettandco.com.au

<image004.png>Follow us on LinkedIn

<image005.png>

BENNETT + CO I Liability limited by a scheme approved under Professional Standards Legislation.
This email is confidential. If you are not the intended recipient you must not disclose or use the information contained in it. If you have received this email in error please notify us immediately by return email and delete the document. Bennett + Co is not responsible for any changes made to a document other than those made by Bennett + Co or for the effect of the changes on the document's meaning. Bennett + Co accepts no liability for any damage caused by this email or its attachments due to viruses, interference, interception, corruption or unauthorised access.

<image006.jpg> Virus-free. www.avast.com